

Considerations for the police sector

Misstateme

November 2015

Customers Employees E Shareholders Tax authorities Banks nation irmation 100% Framework Reporting Confidence Opinion Internal Express Enhance View Statements

Background

In August 2010 the then Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government, Eric Pickles, announced that he intended to close the Audit Commission, the body that appointed external auditors to Nottinghamshire Police. As part of this announcement, he also stated that organisations whose appointments were previously controlled by the Audit Commission should have the freedom to appoint their own external auditors.

The Audit Commission closed on 31 March 2015. At that time contracts were already in place for local government and NHS external audit appointments that covered audits up to and including the financial year 2016/17. Within these contracts there is an option to extend for a maximum of three further years, i.e. up to and including the financial year 2019/20.

A consultation exercise with key stakeholder groups has recently been concluded on whether, and if so for how long, to extend these contracts. The Government decided that for police bodies the contracts will be extended by one year, so incorporating the audit of the 2017/18 financial year. Contracts for NHS bodies will not be extended.

What does this mean for your organisation?

This decision means that you will assume the power to appoint your external auditor from the 2018/19 financial year onwards. This will be the first time you have made such an appointment. External auditors provide an important professional service and play a critical role in the stewardship of public spending, so it is vital that this new decision making power is exercised after careful consideration on how to proceed. Whilst you have different options open to you on how to approach this new power, you will need to comply with some specific requirements.

What should local authorities be considering?

In deciding what to do there are a number of considerations.

Do your current external auditors provide you with a good service?	If yes, do you need to change? If no, now you have an opportunity to do something about it.
How could we procure an external audit service to ensure we get best value?	You will have a number of options on how and when to procure your external audit service – these are summarised later in this document.
	Given the range of options it will be important to consider the best approach for your organisation.
What do we need to do before we start a procurement process?	The new regulations require you to have an Audit Panel, which will be responsible for recommending who your external auditor should be. This Panel must include a majority of independent (i.e. not elected) members and an independent chair. It makes sense for the Panel to have links with your audit committee.
When do we need to undertake a procurement exercise?	The regulations require you to have appointed your external auditor by 31 December in the year preceding the year of audit. As 2018/19 is the first year of these new arrangements, you will need to have appointed your auditor by 31 December 2017.
	You will need to undertake whatever procurement process you follow in good time – sometime between the Spring and Autumn of 2017. And before doing that you will need to have established your Audit Panel – by early 2017 would be sensible.
Who can I appoint to be our external auditor?	You will only be able to appoint an audit firm that has been authorised by the ICAEW to undertake 'local audit work'. Local government auditing is highly specialised and you will need to ensure that your auditor has the necessary capability, experience and capacity to fulfil the statutory duties of a local government auditor.

Procurement options

Although local government bodies will all assume the same power to appoint their external auditor, it is likely that various options will be followed on how they go about doing this. The main options are set out below.

Re-appoint incumbent auditor	One option might be to continue with your current audit provider for a short period, say between one and three years. This would delay testing the market, although you could benchmark proposed fees for reasonableness against published data or by comparing to similar bodies. This would provide stability of service in the short term and also avoid the 'rush to market' as large numbers of local authorities undertake procurement exercises within a short period of time, allowing you to procure later in a more settled audit market.
Stand-alone tendering	As with any other service, you could run your own procurement process. This allows complete autonomy over how and when you want this to be done, although you will need to ensure you follow the Regulations and consider any guidance issued by DCLG or other relevant bodies. However, you should consider whether you will have sufficient purchasing power on your own to obtain best value.
Combined procurement	You could join together with one or more neighbouring authorities to undertake a collective procurement exercise. This would enhance your purchasing power, but would diminish your autonomy over the process and you would need to consider how to retain sufficient sovereignty over decision making and whether this might complicate auditor independence considerations.
Existing frameworks	You could use one of the many existing government or public sector frameworks. These list firms who have already been shortlisted and therefore might speed up the process. You will need to ensure that the firms on any framework have been authorised by the ICAEW for local audit work, however.
Sector led procurement	The new audit legislation allows for a sector-led body (referred to as a 'specified person' in the Regulations) to undertake a bulk procurement process. If such an organisation emerges then this option provides an administratively easy route and would most likely have the greatest element of specialist audit procurement expertise. It would also provide good purchasing power, although with less autonomy than some other options, and might afford easier management of potential auditor independence issues than other combined procurements approaches. It will be the most similar option to the current arrangements.

What other factors should you consider?

When you are deciding who to appoint as your external auditor you will need to consider a range of factors. Key areas to consider are as follows:

- Quality: This is a vital consideration and should be appropriately weighted in any scoring methodology for assessing tenders. Relevant considerations include audit methodologies, systems and processes, staff training and expertise, and quality monitoring arrangements.
- Experience: Local government auditing is a specialist business and your auditor must have the necessary skills and sector experience. This is not just about understanding local authority financial reporting, but extends into auditors' value for money audit responsibilities and 'challenge' work.
- Independence: You will need to consider possible relationships with audit firms via non-audit work such as consultancy and tax advice. Independence is also an important mind-set for auditors to adopt, where you should be satisfied that your future auditor will be sufficiently challenging (and your current auditor should not be constrained in exercising their duties by any tendering process).
- Organisational fit: As with any service it is important to consider how the people you see in the audit team fit with your own organisational culture – i.e. can you work with these people.
- **Price**: Like any other out-sourced service you need to obtain good value through a competitive audit fee. However, best value does not mean the cheapest quote. The fee must be sufficient to provide a good quality service taking account of the scale, nature and risk profile of your organisation, and also the requirement for your external auditor to comply with auditing standards and other statutory duties.
- Other services: Although ethical standards provide limitations, you should consider what other services you might want your auditor to perform, whether that is other assurance services (e.g. certifying grant claims) or more added-value services.

What next?

There is still plenty of time before you appoint your external auditor for the first time, but there will be a long lead up to that decision. It is therefore important to think about how your organisation should approach this in good time. We would suggest that you should be developing your procurement strategy and selecting your preferred approach during 2016.

It is likely that further guidance and support will be issued by DCLG, and potentially other organisations such as CIPFA, to help you with the decisions you need to make and how you proceed. We will continue to update you on key developments.

If you want to discuss this further please contact your audit Engagement Lead, Andrew Cardoza.





© 2015 KPMG LLP, a UK limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.

The KPMG name, logo and "cutting through complexity" are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.