| For Information | |
|--------------------|---|
| Public/Non Public* | Public |
| Report to: | Joint Audit and Scrutiny Panel |
| Date of Meeting: | 15 th November 2019 |
| Report of: | Deputy Chief Constable |
| Report Author: | Amanda Froggatt, Strategic Support Officer |
| E-mail: | amanda.froggatt@nottinghamshire.pnn.police.uk |
| Other Contacts: | Amanda Froggatt, Strategic Support Officer |
| Agenda Item: | 7 |

Strategic Risk Management Report for Force and Nottinghamshire Police and Crime Commissioner (NOPCC), Quarter 2, 2019/20

1. Purpose of the Report

1.1 To provide the Joint Audit and Scrutiny Panel (JASP) with an up to date picture of strategic risk management across the Force and the Nottinghamshire Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner (NOPCC).

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 It is recommended that the JASP notes the current approach to strategic risk management and considers the assurance that this report provides as to the effectiveness of those arrangements within the Force and NOPCC.
- 2.2 JASP note the three very high strategic risks on the Force's risk register namely, Multi-Force Shared Service (MFSS) transfer of payroll system to the new Fusion solution, compliance with the new General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR), and the building of the new Bridewell custody facility.
- 2.3 In relation to the Force's Strategic risk register, JASP note the reduction in the level of risk for the Bridewell custody facility.
- 2.4 JASP also note the high risks relating to the NOPCC, Multi-Force Shared Service (MFSS) transfer of payroll system to the new Fusion solution, the uncertainty in relation to the announcement of the comprehensive spending review and the police funding formula, and the risk relating to having no agreed funding in place for sexual violence support service in the county.
- 2.5 JASP note accompanying Appendix 3 which outlines more in-depth explanations of the mitigation in place in relation to the Force's very high level strategic risks.

3. Reasons for Recommendations

3.1 A Strategic Risk Report is provided to the JASP on a quarterly basis in order to keep the Board informed as to the level of strategic risk within the Force and NOPCC and provide assurance as to the effectiveness of risk management arrangements.

4. Summary of Key Points

Risk management policy and process

4.1 The Force and the NOPCC previously agreed a joint policy for the management of risk, in line with the Cabinet Office approved Management of Risk (M_o_R) approach.

5 Financial Implications and Budget Provision

5.1 There are no direct financial implications as a result of this report. Financial implications as a result of each risk will be assessed and managed on an individual basis.

6 Human Resources Implications

- 6.1 Providing professional advice on risk management is the responsibility of the Corporate Governance and Business Planning team.
- 6.2 General responsibility for managing risk forms an integral part of the job descriptions of individuals throughout the Force.

7 Equality Implications

- 7.1 There are no known equality implications associated with the implementation of the Risk Management Policy.
- 7.2 Where a particular risk is identified that could have an impact on the Force's equality objectives that risk will be assessed and managed in line with the Risk Management Policy.

8 Risk Management

- 8.1 One of the main aims of the Risk Management Policy is to achieve consistent application of risk management principles and techniques across all areas of the Force and NOPCC.
- 8.2 If the Force and NOPCC do not practice effective risk management within their decision making there is a risk of non-compliance with the principles set out in the Joint Code of Corporate Governance.

9 Policy Implications and links to the Police and Crime Plan Priorities

9.1 An understanding and appreciation of strategic risk is important in determining the priorities in the Police and Crime Plan, and subsequently informing the development of effective strategies, policies and plans to address those priorities. It is expected that the implementation of the Risk Management Policy will lead to improved understanding of strategic risk and therefore impact positively on the achievement of Police and Crime Plan objectives.

10 Changes in Legislation or other Legal Considerations

10.1 Where potential changes in legislation or other legal considerations represent a significant threat or opportunity for the Force or the NOPCC these are evaluated and managed in line with the Risk Management Policy.

11 Details of outcome of consultation

11.1 Each Strategic Risk has been assessed with the relevant risk owner and the DCC and Chief Executive of the NOPCC, respectively.

12. Appendices

12.1 **Appendix 1** – Force Strategic Risk Register

Appendix 2 – NOPCC Strategic Risk Register

Appendix 3 – Mitigation to Force's Strategic Risks

NOTTINGHAMSHIRE POLICE CORPORATE RISK REGISTER - NOVEMBER 2019

| | RISK | | MITIGATION OF RISK | ASSESSMENT | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|------------|---|--|
| Risk / | | | | Unmiti | gated / Curr | ent Risk | Commentary and | |
| Objective | Description and Owner | Impact | Strategy and Assurances | Probability | Impact | Risk Score | Review date | |
| SR1 | | | Treat | 4 | 4 | 16 | | |
| Matching Resources to Demand Create a service that | Timeliness in responding to Grade 3 and 4 incidents due to demand pressures Owner:- ACC Crime and Operational Support | Reputation / public confidence - Performance / delivery risks - Poor assessment / inspection outcomes | Ongoing oversight via DMMs and Introduction of Sergeant oversight into Control Room | 3 | 3 | 9 | Daily oversight via DMMs and Introduction of Sergeant oversight into Control Room On-going recruitment of officers Review date: Ongoing | |
| works for local people | | | Recruitment of additional officers | | | ∢ ▶ | | |
| SR2 | Business continuity risks associated with | | Treat | 4 | 4 | 16 | O MEOO M | |
| MFSS Business continuity Create a service that | MFSS transfer of payroll system in April 2019 Owner: Chief Finance Officer | - Workforce confidence / morale - Service delivery - Reputation / public confidence risk | Ongoing oversight via MFSS Management Board and Strategic Oversight Board | 4 | 4 | 16 | Ongoing oversight via MFSS Management Board and Strategic Oversight Board. Preparation of advice for officers and staff Review date: Ongoing | |
| works for local people | | confidence fisk | | | | 4 | Review date: Ongoing | |
| SR3 | Force unable to achieve a balanced | - Insolvency - Govt. mandation / penalties | Treat | 4 | 4 | 16 | Ongoing oversight via monthly budget monitoring meetings and weekly PCC/CC briefing meetings | |
| Financial Incapability Create a service that | budget, required efficiency savings and contribution to reserves Owner: Chief Finance Officer | - Reputation / public confidence - Performance / delivery risks - Poor assessment / | Budget parameters set by PCC Medium Term Financial Planning Monthly budget monitoring meetings Weekly PCC / CC meetings | 3 | 4 | 12 | Active OPCC and Force CFO discussion. The potential impact of Treasury decisions on pension budget continues to be closely monitored. | |
| works for local people | | inspection outcomes | Escalation process Active OPCC and Force CFO discussion | | | ∢ ▶ | Review date: Ongoing | |
| SR4 | | Deputation / public | Treat | 4 | 4 | 16 | Alignment of regional approach with local practices | |
| Information Management Create a service that | Documentation retention, review and disposal risks associated with non compliance of MOPI Owner:- Deputy Chief Constable | - Reputation / public confidence - Delivery failure - Ineffective planning and problem solving | Alignment of regional approach with local practices Assessment and development of RRD processes with Porce legacy systems | 3 | 4 | 12 | Assessment and development of RRD processes within Force legacy systems Alignment of RRD requirements with retention schedules and Information Asset register | |
| works for local people | | - Government penalties | Alignment of RRD requirements with retention schedules and Information Asset register | | | ∢ ▶ | Review date: Ongoing | |
| SR5 | | - Reputation / public | Treat | 4 | 4 | 16 | GDPR gap analysis being undertaken in order to identify associated risks | |
| Information Management Create a service that works for local people | Data protection breaches as a result of non compliance with GDPR Owner:- Deputy Chief Constable | confidence - Delivery failure - Ineffective planning and problem solving | Undertaking GDPR gap analysis in order to identify associated risk and define an action plan | 4 | 4 | 16 | which will help define an action plan Force due to be audited on GDPR compliance in December 2018 | |
| works for local people | | - Government penalties | and define an action plan | | | 4 | Review date: Ongoing | |

NOTTINGHAMSHIRE POLICE CORPORATE RISK REGISTER - NOVEMBER 2019

| | RISK | | MITIGATION OF RISK | | | A | SSESSMENT |
|---|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|------------|--|
| Risk / | Description and Owner | Impact | Strategy and Assurances | Unmitig | ated / Curre | ent Risk | Commentary and |
| Objective | Description and Owner | impact Strategy and Ass | Strategy and Assurances | Probability | Impact | Risk Score | Review date |
| SR6 | Poor data quality compliance impacts upon understanding of crime patterns, the | - Reputation / public | Treat | 4 | 4 | 16 | HMICFRS Crime Integrity Inspection highlighted a |
| Information Management & Data Quality | identification and referral of vulnerable people and public confidence in crime recording. | confidence - Delivery failure - Ineffective planning and problem solving | Audit Committee / Internal Audit FCIR Review meetings HMICFRS Inspection | 3 | 3 | 9 | number of areas for concern. These are being reviewed and addressed within force in the Crime Data Integrity meeting chaired by ACC Local Policing |
| Engage our communities | Owner:- Deputy Chief Constable | - Government penalties | - Government penalties Annual Assurance Statement | | | 4 | Review date: Ongoing |
| SR7 | Lack of force and partner agency | - Force and partners inability to direct resources according | Treat | 4 | 3 | 12 | Ongoing liaison with heads of Intelligence and |
| Engage our | analytical capacity impacting on provision of analytical products and assurance reports Owner:- Deputy Chief Constable | to need; identify emerging risks; assess impact of interventions; provide accountability | Forward planning on the development of key analytical products. Direct access to intranet-based | 3 | 3 | 9 | Management Information. Departmental restructure and recruitment underway. Proposals to enhance 'self-service' functionality are being progressed. |
| communities | Owner Deputy Chief Constable | - Reputation / public confidence risk | tools and functionality. | | | ◆ ▶ | Review date: Ongoing |

NOTTINGHAMSHIRE OPCC RISK MATRIX

Nottinghamshire Force Risk Matrix

Impact 3 6 9 12 16 Probability Probability

PROBABILITY

| 1 Lo | 2 Me | ω | 4 |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Low: <25% chance, unlikely to occur | Medium: 26-50% chance, fairly likely to occur | High: 51-75% chance, more likely to occur than not | Very High: >75% chance, almost certain to occur |

| | | Impact score | score- | |
|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Impact category | Low (1) | Medium (2) | High (3) | Very High (4) |
| Performance / | Minor, brief disruption | Significant, sustained | Serious, protracted | Major, long term |
| Service Delivery | to service delivery. | disruption to service | disruption to | disruption to |
| | | delivery. | service delivery. | service delivery. |
| | Minor impact on | | | |
| | performance | Noticeable impact on | Substantial impact | Major impact on |
| | indicators. | performance | on performance | performance |
| | | indictors. | indicators. | indicators. |
| Finance / | Force: | Force: | Force: | Force: |
| Efficiency | <£50,000 | £51,000 -£250,000 | £251,000 - | >£1,000,000 |
| | | | £1,000,000 |) (3) (3) |
| | Business Area: | Business Area: | | Business Area: |
| | <£10,000 | £11,000 -£40,000 | Business Area: | >£150,000 |
| | | | £41,000 - £150,000 | |
| Confidence / | Complaints from | Significant public | Substantial | Major stakeholder |
| Reputation | individuals. | concerns / | stakeholder / public | public concerns / |
| | | investigations. | concerns / | investigations. |
| | I ittle or no noticeshle | | invoctiontions | |

| , | רוויום כו ווס ווסווכםשטום | - | I IIVESHUGIIUIS | - |
|------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| | local media | Significant | | Major reputational |
| | G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G | adverse local media coverage. | reputational damage / adverse | national media coverage >7 days |
| | | (| national media coverage < 7 days | |
| Community impact | Minor impact on a specific section of the | Significant impact on a specific section of | Substantial, prolonged, impact | Major, prolonged impact on the wider |
| | community | the community. | on a specific section of the | community. |
| | | Minor impact on the | community. | |
| | | wide Community. | Significant impact | |
| | | | community. | |
| Health & Safety | An injury or illness | An injury or illness | An injury or illness | Death, or a life |
| | treatment or minor | professional medical | hrs hospitalisation | illness. |
| | first aid / care with no | attention and / or | and / or more than | |
| | little oil work | three days off work | a major injury as | |
| | | with full recovery | defined by the | |
| | | | Regulations | |
| T | 1 1415 05 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 | |) I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I | |
| Literonicing | natural resources | natural resources | of natural | nafiiral resources |
| | used, pollution | used, pollution | resources used, | used, pollution |
| | produced, or | produced, or | pollution produced, | produced, or |
| | biodiversity affected. | biodiversity affected. | or biodiversity | biodiversity |
| | | | affected. | affected. |
| Strategic | Little or no noticeable | Noticeable change to | Substantial | Complete change |
| direction | strategic objective. | one or more strategic objectives. | changes to one or more strategic | to strategic direction |
| | | | objectives. | |
| | A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR | | Total Control of the | |

NOTTINGHAMSHIRE POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER CORPORATE RISK REGISTER - OCTOBER 2019

| | RISK | | MITIGATION OF RISK | | | А | SSESSMENT |
|--|--|---|--|-------------|---------------|--------------|--|
| Risk / | Description and Owner | lmnaat | Ctuate my and Accourance | Unmitiç | gated / Curre | ent Risk | Commentary and |
| Objective | Description and Owner | Impact | Strategy and Assurances | Probability | Impact | Risk Score | Review date |
| SR1 | No agreed funding in place from local authority or CCG partners from March | - Failure to meet the needs | Treat | 4 | 3 | 12 | T&F group has been meeting to plan for future services |
| Delivery of the sexual violence support service | 2019 to fund the sexual violence support services including Survivor Support Service, part of the ISVA Service and specialist counselling. | of vulnerable victims - a postcode lottery between services in city and county - reputational damage to the OPCC as the funder of | HWB workshop Engagement with survivors PCC discussion with CCG Chief Officers | 3 | 2 | 6 | PCC commissioned SVA needs assessment to report in late October new model has been developed with survivors PCC chairing meeting with funders in November 2019 |
| Supporting Victims | Owner: Head of Commissioning Organisation: OPCC | victims services | Joint task and finish group | | | 4> | Review date: 01/12/2019 |
| SR2 | Business continuity risks associated with | West force on Change | Treat | 4 | 3 | 12 | 0 |
| MFSS Business continuity Transforming Services | MFSS transfer to inhouse provision in April 2020 Owner: Chief Finance Officer | Workforce confidence / morale Service delivery Reputation / public confidence risk | Ongoing oversight via MFSS Management Board and Strategic Oversight Board. | 3 | 3 | 9 | Ongoing oversight via MFSS Management Board and Strategic Oversight Board. Ongoing data accuracy issues being identified and resolved. |
| and Delivering Quality Policing | Organisation: OPCC | confidence fisk | Provision being brought inhouse. | | | 4 | Review date: Ongoing |
| SR3 | Force unable to achieve a balanced | - Insolvency - Govt. mandation / penalties | Treat | 4 | 4 | 16 | Ongoing oversight via monthly budget monitoring meetings and weekly PCC/CC briefing meetings |
| Financial Incapability Transforming Services | budget, required efficiency savings and contribution to reserves Owner: Chief Finance Officer | Reputation / public confidence Performance / delivery risks Poor assessment / | Budget parameters set by PCC Medium Term Financial Planning Monthly budget monitoring meetings Weekly PCC / CC meetings | 2 | 3 | 6 | Active OPCC and Force CFO discussion. Anticipated in year overspend of £400k. 2020/21 fundng gap of £3.5m taking account of planned efficiencies and precept. |
| and Delivering Quality Policing | Organisation: OPCC | inspection outcomes | Escalation process Active OPCC and Force CFO discussion | | | 4 | Review date: Ongoing |
| SR4 | Inability to respond to critical unforseen | - Govt. mandation / penalties | Treat | 3 | 4 | 12 | Nottinghamshire maintins one of the lowest levels of reserves when compared to other Police folice forces / OPCCs nationally. Risk continues to be monitoried via |
| | risk due to a lack of prudent risk-assessed reserves Owner: Chief Finance Officer Organisation: OPCC | - Reputation / public confidence - Performance / delivery risks - Poor assessment / inspection outcomes | Medium Term Financial Planning Monthly budget monitoring meetings Weekly PCC / CC meetings Escalation process | 2 | 3 | 6 | monthly budget meetings and weekly PCC/CC briefing meetings, alongside ongoing active OPCC and Force CFO discussions. Anticipated overspend and slippage in force repayment of risk-assessed reserves. |
| Policing | | | Active OPCC and Force CFO discussion | | | A | Review date: Ongoing |
| SR5 | Reductions in partner agency budgets, loss of national VAWG and potential loss | - Failure to meet the needs of vulnerable victims - Reputation / public | Treat | 3 | 3 | 9 | Ongoing strategic engagement with key partners at political and officer level, including as part of annual planning an budget setting. Exploring opportunities to |
| Delivery of critical multi-agency services | of MHCLG and hate crime funding result in withdrawal of support / funding from key partnership projects. | confidence - Relationship with partners - Missed opportunities to prevent and reduce crime | Chairs Meeting Strategic Resources and Performance Engagement in CDP and SNB, Health and Wellbeing Boards | 3 | 2 | 6 | review services and investigations into services most at risk (city IDVA) to consider other options for delivery within reduced budget available. |
| Cross-cutting risk | Owner: Head of Commissioning Organisation: OPCC | - P&C Plan commissioning intentions affected | Regular commissioning review meetings Joint Commissioning events | | | * | Review date: Ongoing |

NOTTINGHAMSHIRE POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER CORPORATE RISK REGISTER - OCTOBER 2019

| | RISK | | MITIGATION OF RISK | | | А | SSESSMENT |
|--|---|--|--|-------------|---------------|------------|--|
| Risk / | Description and Guman | lmnaat | Ctuate my and Appropriate | Unmitiç | gated / Curre | ent Risk | Commentary and |
| Objective | Description and Owner | Impact | Strategy and Assurances | Probability | Impact | Risk Score | Review date |
| SR6 | Delays and uncertainty in the government announcement of comprehensive spending | - Ineffective planning | Treat | 3 | 3 | 9 | One year CSR settlement for 2020/21 and further delays to review of the police funding formula where Nottinghamshire continues to be adversely affected by |
| Financial uncertainty Transforming Services and Delivering Quality | review. Delays in the review of the police funding formula Owner: Chief Finance Officer | Instability of key services Impact on service delivery Reputational / public confidence impact | Engagement with central government, APCC/NPCC Police Finance workstream Police Reform and Transformation Board | 3 | 3 | 9 | the dampeneing formula. Ongoing engagement with central government, APCC/NPCC Police Finance workstream and Police Reform and Transformation Board. |
| Policing | Organisation: OPCC | | | | | A | Review date: Ongoing |
| SR7 | Delivery and sustainability of outcomes as | - Instability of key services | Transfer | 3 | 3 | 9 | Ongoing development and delivery of the VRU. |
| Service sustainability / making best use of resources | a result of significant short term national investment in Serious Violence Reduction. Owner: Director of VRU | and programmes - Reputational / public confidence impact P&C Plan commissioning | Ongoing project managemnt Proactive engagement with communities | 2 | 2 | 4 | Proactive engagement with key partners. Detailed spending plans developed. Increased assurance of further Home Office investment |
| Tackling crime and ASB | Organisation: OPCC | intentions affected | | | | ◄ ▶ | Review date: Ongoing |
| SR8 | Poor data quality compliance impacts upon understanding of crime patterns, the | - Reputation / public | Transfer | 3 | 3 | 9 | Overall indicative NCRS compliance remains strong and in excess of 95%. Further assurance required in |
| Information Management & Data Quality | identificaiton and referral fo vulnerable people and public confidence in crime recording. | confidence - Delivery failure - Ineffective planning and problem solving | Audit Committee / Internal Audit FCIR Review meetings HMICFRS Inspection | 2 | 2 | 4 | respect of crime and incident flagging (e.g. alcohol, cyber, vulnerability). Ongoing regular oversight by OPCC and HMICFRS |
| Tackling crime and ASB | Owner: Head of Performance & Assurance Organisation: OPCC | - Government penalties | Annual Assurance Statement | | | 4 Þ | Review date: Ongoing |
| SR9 | Lack of force and partner agency analytical | - Force and partners inability to direct resources according | Treat | 3 | 2 | 6 | Ongoing liaison with heads of Intelligence and |
| Significant gaps in analytical capacity and capability Tackling Crime and | capacity impacting on provision of analytical products and assurance reports Owner: Head of Performance & Assurance Organisation: OPCC | to need; identfiy emerging risks; assess impact of interventions; provide accountability - Reputation / public | Forward planning on the development of key OPCC analytical products. Direct OPCC access to intranet-based tools and functionality. Development of | 2 | 2 | 4 | Management Information. Proposals to enhance 'sel service' functionality are being progressed. Reduce capacity and frequency of strategic intelligence products, control strategy and MoRiLE assessment |
| ASB | Organisation. Of OO | confidence risk | independent assurance mechanisms such as the Police and Crime Survey | | | ◄ ▶ | Review date: Ongoing |
| SR10 | Insurance tender underway for 1 April | - Direct financial impact - Workforce confidence | Treat | 2 | 3 | 6 | Risk of potential increased costs to force as a result of |
| Rise in insurance costs / liability Transforming Services | 2020. Limited number of insurers willing to quote Owner: Chief Finance Officer | Service delivery Reputation / public confidence risk | Medium Term Financial Planning Monthly budget monitoring meetings | 2 | 3 | 6 | limitations to insurance cover are being considered and assessed. Potential cultural and operational implications of monitoring and mitgating risk. |
| | Organisation: OPCC | - Performance / delivery risks | Weekly PCC / CC meetings Active OPCC and Force CFO discussion | | | A | Review date: Ongoing |

Appendix 3 – Mitigation for High Level Strategic Risks

| SR2 | Business continuity risks associated with | Madena | Treat | 4 | 4 | 16 | Ongoing oversight via MFSS Management Board and |
|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------|--|---|---|----------|--|
| continuity Create a service that | MFSS transfer of payroll system in April 2019 Owner: Chief Finance Officer | - Service delivery | Ongoing oversight via MFSS Management Board and Strategic Oversight Board | 4 | 4 | 16 | Origining oversight wat wirs 5 warragement board and Strategic Oversight Board. Preparation of advice for officers and staff Review date: Ongoing |
| works for local people | | SS.MSS/IOC TOX | 3 | | | A | <u></u> |

Since the go-live of Oracle Cloud Apps (April 19) payroll errors and inaccuracies have continued. Whilst outstanding service requests remain an issue, a payroll improvement plan to utilise in-house Notts payroll support to MFSS and recruitment of additional payroll contract staff within MFSS has been put in place from 27/06 to mitigate the risk. This plan is anticipated to be in place until 31/10/19 to stabilise the service.

The risk of delayed invoice payments has also been evidenced and this may impact the ability to deliver policing services and cause reputational damage to the force if suppliers put a stop to goods/services. Key processes are being reviewed alongside IT defects and remedial work is being undertaken.

The Crown Duty Management System (DMS) which is provisioned as part of Oracle Cloud Apps has continued to experience intermittent performance issues since go-live. A gold group was established in April 19 to manage the DMS performance issue. Additional servers and solid state disks have been implemented by Capgemini to stabilise per Agreement to migrate to Oracle Generation 2 was therefore approved by Joint Oversight Committee (JOC) 30/07 and is currently subject to contract agreement with Capgemini. This upgrade should remedy the DMS issues and some outstanding IT health check issues which are currently being addressed in the remediation plan managed via the Security Steering Group.

There is a risk that the longevity of the MFSS model cannot be sustained due to the inability to demonstrate value for money. The lack of a strong leadership team, unclear roles and responsibilities, increased staff stress and service failures has demonstrated that the future sustainability of MFSS is questionable. A review of core processes and harmonisation across forces is to be undertaken as short term mitigation and partners continue to provide support to MFSS to avoid service failures. Medium term options around the current MFSS management arrangements are being considered. In addition an early evaluation of alternative service delivery models has been undertaken by TowersHolt in July 19 and the findings are currently being considered.

The key risks and mitigating actions have been presented to the Joint Audit and Scrutiny Panel on 24/07/19 and to the Senior Leadership Forum on 16/07/19. DCC Barber is updated weekly on the MFSS position.

| SR5 | | - Reputation / public | Treat | 4 | 4 | 16 | GDPR gap analysis being undertaken in order to identify associated risks |
|------------|--|--|---|---|---|------------|---|
| Management | Data protection breaches as a result of non compliance with GDPR Owner:- Deputy Chief Constable | confidence - Delivery failure - Ineffective planning and problem solving | Undertaking GDPR gap analysis in order to identify associated risk | 4 | 4 | 16 | which will help define an action plan Force due to be audited on GDPR compliance in December 2018 |
| people | | - Government penalties | and define an action plan | | | ♦ ▶ | Review date: Ongoing |

The conclusion of the recruitment process which will result in the Information Management Unit being fully resourced is now anticipated by the end of October 2019, followed by an induction and training period. It is anticipated the section will be fully functional with basic trained staff by the end of the year.

Mazars (our internal auditor) are back in force on 14th October to re-inspect this area of work. The risk will be reviewed following the outcome of this audit.

| SR8 | | Reputation / public | Treat | 4 | 4 | 16 | |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|----|--|
| Custody Provision Create a service that works for local people | Building of new Bridewell to provide a more appropriate and effective custody function Owner:- ACC Local Policing | confidence - Performance / delivery risks | New custody provision being managed through a project chaired by ACC Local Policing. Regular inputs are also made into Force Executive Board | 3 | 3 | 9 | New custody provision being managed through a project chaired by ACC Local Policing. Regular inputs are also made into Force Executive Board Review date: Ongoing |
| | | | | | | | |

Planning and Community Engagement

Planning permission was applied for on the 1st of July. Currently there are no formal objections but an individual has started a petition opposing the location of the suite. Following on from the email to the Chief Constable, the project manager has met with Nottingham City Housing to engage with them around apprenticeships and other joint working that they can do with young people in Nottingham to get them into construction. They have also been briefed about other construction work that is taking place which could lead to additional co-working between Nottinghamshire Police, the companies working on these projects and Nottingham City Housing.

Zoe Anastasi (Willmott Dixon's Regional Community Manger) and the project manager have met with Councillor Shuguftah Quddoos (Berridge Ward) to discuss community engagement. Going forward, we are going to produce a pamphlet to address some of the scaremongering that is taking place and make people aware of the facts (the project manager will work with our analyst to establish information to address the issues being raised).

Councillor Quddoos will distribute these from her surgery and when visiting residents. We are also going to arrange a local forum to meet regularly and attend some of the regular meetings that the councillors hold.

The project manager has met with Mr Chris Leslie, MP for Nottingham East, who's constituents will be most affected by the development (although the site is on Nottingham North but the constituents in that area are separated from the site by the Leen and the railway). Mr Leslie was very positive and visited the site. He didn't raise any concerns and stated that he had not been contacted by any constituents who wanted to raise concerns. The project manager has also written to Mr Alex Norris, MP for Nottingham North but have not had a reply.

Site Preparation and Betterment

The site has been cleared and fenced. Contractors are on site and have completed the works to better the water table to the point where they need to start pumping chemicals into the ground. This requires a licence that should have been issued within 8 weeks but was not. This is being vigorously pursued but will not affect the construction programme as a sufficient buffer has been included between completion of this work and commencement on site (the period between planning being granted and the time it will take to secure all costs and agree a contract sum is longer than that required for these works).

Design Work

The ground floor layout has been finalised and only the office areas need to be agreed – staff representatives will assist with this final meeting. Detailed drawings have been produced and these have generated 3D virtual reality models. Representatives from custody (support and staff) and PHT have seen the models and have reacted positively to the work that has been done. staff engagement will continue throughout the project and a working group is to be established to look at the actual operation of the suite and day to day practical matters such as how officers will enter the suite to ensure that juveniles and vulnerable persons are routed correctly.

Programme

The project remains on schedule.

NOTE THE REDUCTION IN THE LEVEL OF RISK IN RELATION TO THE NEW CUSTODY CONSTRUCTION. THIS IS NO LONGER CONSIDERED A HIGH RISK FOR THE FORCE.