For Information	
Public/Non Public*	Public
Report to:	Joint Audit and Scrutiny Panel
Date of Meeting:	27 th November 2020
Report of:	DCC Barber
Report Author:	Amanda Froggatt, Corporate Development Manager
	Laura Spinks, Force Assurance Lead
E-mail:	amanda.froggatt@nottinghamshire.pnn.police.uk
Other Contacts:	
Agenda Item:	9

Strategic Risk Management Report for Force and Nottinghamshire Police and Crime Commissioner – Quarter 3, 2020/21

1. Purpose of the Report

1.1 The purpose of this report is to provide the Joint Audit and Scrutiny Panel (JASP) with an up-to-date picture of strategic risk management across the Force and the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner (OPCC).

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 It is recommended that the JASP note the current approach to strategic risk management and considers the assurance that this report provides as to the effectiveness of those arrangements within the Force and OPCC.
- 2.2 JASP note the two very high strategic risks on the Force's risk register namely, Multi-Force Shared Service (MFSS) transfer of payroll system to the new Fusion solution and compliance with the new General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR).
- 2.3 JASP note the very high risk relating to the OPCC, Covid-19 Impact on the Council Tax which has a score of 16.
- 2.4 JASP note accompanying Appendix 3, which outlines more in-depth explanations of the mitigation in place in relation to the Force's very high level strategic risks.

3. Reasons for Recommendations

3.1 A Strategic Risk Report is provided to the JASP on a quarterly basis in order to keep the Board informed as to the level of strategic risk within the Force and OPCC and provide assurance as to the effectiveness of risk management arrangements.

4. Summary of Key Points

Risk management policy and process

4.1 The Force and the OPCC previously agreed a joint policy for the management of risk, in line with the Cabinet Office approved Management of Risk (M_o_R) approach.

5 Financial Implications and Budget Provision

5.1 There are no direct financial implications as a result of this report. Financial implications as a result of each risk will be assessed and managed on an individual basis.

6 Human Resources Implications

- 6.1 Providing professional advice on risk management is the responsibility of the Corporate Governance and Business Planning team.
- 6.2 General responsibility for managing risk forms an integral part of the job descriptions of individuals throughout the Force.

7 Equality Implications

- 7.1 There are no known equality implications associated with the implementation of the Risk Management Policy.
- 7.2 Where a particular risk is identified that could have an impact on the Force's equality objectives that risk will be assessed and managed in line with the Risk Management Policy.

8 Risk Management

- 8.1 One of the main aims of the Risk Management Policy is to achieve consistent application of risk management principles and techniques across all areas of the Force and NOPCC.
- 8.2 If the Force and NOPCC do not practice effective risk management within their decision making there is a risk of non-compliance with the principles set out in the Joint Code of Corporate Governance.

9 Policy Implications and links to the Police and Crime Plan Priorities

9.1 An understanding and appreciation of strategic risk is important in determining the priorities in the Police and Crime Plan, and subsequently informing the development of effective strategies, policies and plans to address those priorities. It is expected that the implementation of the Risk Management Policy will lead to improved understanding of strategic risk and therefore impact positively on the achievement of Police and Crime Plan objectives.

10 Changes in Legislation or other Legal Considerations

10.1 Where potential changes in legislation or other legal considerations represent a significant threat or opportunity for the Force or the NOPCC these are evaluated and managed in line with the Risk Management Policy.

11 Details of outcome of consultation

11.1 Each Strategic Risk has been assessed with the relevant risk owner and the DCC and Chief Executive of the NOPCC, respectively.

12. Appendices

12.1 Appendix 1 – Force Strategic Risk Register
 Appendix 2 – NOPCC Strategic Risk Register
 Appendix 3 – Mitigation to Force's Strategic Risks

NOTTINGHAMSHIRE POLICE CORPORATE RISK REGISTER - OCTOBER 2020

	RISK	MITIGATION OF RISK	ASSESSMENT				
Risk /	Description and Owner	Impact		Unmitiç	gated / Curr	ent Risk	Commentary and
Objective			Strategy and Assurances	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Review date
SR1	Timeliness in responding to Grade 3 and 4	Reputation / public	Treat	4	4	16	Daily oversight via DMMs and Introduction of Sergeant
Matching Resources to Demand	Owner:- ACC Crime and Operational Support	 Performance / delivery risks Poor assessment / inspection outcomes 	Ongoing oversight via DMMs and Introduction of Sergeant oversight into Control Room	3	3	9	oversight into Control Room On-going recruitment of officers Review date: Ongoing
Create a service that works for local people	Зарроп	Inspection outcomes	Recruitment of additional officers				Review date. Origoing
SR2	Business continuity risks associated with	- Workforce confidence /	Treat	4	4	16	Ongoing oversight via MFSS Management Board and
MFSS Business continuity Create a service that	MFSS transfer of payroll system in April 2019 Owner: Chief Finance Officer	morale - Service delivery - Reputation / public confidence risk	Ongoing oversight via MFSS Management Board and Strategic Oversight Board	4	4	16	Strategic Oversight Board. Preparation of advice for officers and staff Review date : Ongoing
works for local people						•	iterien aute. Origoing
SR3	Force unable to achieve a balanced budget, required efficiency savings and	- Insolvency - Govt. mandation / penalties	Treat	4	4	16	Ongoing oversight via monthly budget monitoring meetings and weekly PCC/CC briefing meetings
Financial Incapability Create a service that	Contribution to reserves Owner: Chief Finance Officer	 Reputation / public confidence Performance / delivery risks Poor assessment / 	Budget parameters set by PCC Medium Term Financial Planning Monthly budget monitoring meetings Weekly PCC / CC meetings	3	4	12	Active OPCC and Force CFO discussion. The potential impact of Treasury decisions on pension budget continues to be closely monitored.
works for local people		inspection outcomes	Escalation process Active OPCC and Force CFO discussion				Review date: Ongoing
SR4		- Reputation / public	Treat	4	4	16	Alignment of regional approach with local practices
Information Management Create a service that	Documentation retention, review and disposal risks associated with non compliance of MOPI	- Delivery failure - Ineffective planning and problem solving	Alignment of regional approach with local practices Assessment and development of RRD processes within Force legacy systems	3	4	12	Assessment and development of RRD processes with Force legacy systems Alignment of RRD requirements with retention schedules and Information Asset register
works for local people	Owner:- Deputy Chief Constable	- Government penalties	Alignment of RRD requirements with retention schedules and Information Asset register				Review date: Ongoing
SR5		- Reputation / public	Treat	4	4	16	GDPR was subject of a recent internal audit by Mazars and received Satisfactory Assurance. However, in
Create a service that	Data protection breaches as a result of non compliance with GDPR Owner:- Deputy Chief Constable	confidence - Delivery failure - Ineffective planning and problem solving	Undertaking GDPR gap analysis in order to identify associated risk	4	4	16	relation to a gap analysis and implementation plan it was identified this was still outstanding and that implementation had been slower than anticipated
works for local people		- Government penalties	- Government penalties and define an action plan				Review date: Ongoing

NOTTINGHAMSHIRE POLICE CORPORATE RISK REGISTER - OCTOBER 2020

	RISK		MITIGATION OF RISK	ASSESSMENT			
Risk /	Description and Owner	Impost	Strategy and Assurances	Unmitigated / Current Risk			Commentary and
Objective	Description and Owner	Impact	Strategy and Assurances	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Review date
SR6	Poor data quality compliance impacts upon understanding of crime patterns, the	- Reputation / public	Treat	4	4	16	HMICFRS Crime Integrity Inspection highlighted a
Information Management & Data Quality	identification and referral of vulnerable people and public confidence in crime recording.	confidence - Delivery failure - Ineffective planning and problem solving	Audit Committee / Internal Audit FCIR Review meetings HMICFRS Inspection	3	3	9	number of areas for concern. These are being reviewed and addressed within force in the Crime Data Integrity meeting chaired by ACC Local Policing
Engage our communities	Owner:- Deputy Chief Constable	- Government penalties	Annual Assurance Statement				Review date: Ongoing
SR7	Lack of force and partner agency	- Force and partners inability to direct resources according	Treat	4	3	12	Ongoing liaison with heads of Intelligence and
Engage our	analytical capacity impacting on provision of analytical products and assurance reports	to need; identify emerging risks; assess impact of interventions; provide accountability	Forward planning on the development of key analytical products. Direct access to intranet-based	3	3	9	Management Information. Departmental restructure and recruitment underway. Proposals to enhance 'self-service' functionality are being progressed.
communities	Owner:- Deputy Chief Constable	 Reputation / public confidence risk 	tools and functionality.	J			Review date: Ongoing

NOTTINGHAMSHIRE POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER CORPORATE RISK REGISTER - OCTOBER 2020

	RISK	MITIGATION OF RISK	ASSESSMENT							
Risk /				Unmitig	gated / Curr	ent Risk	Commentary and			
Objective	Description and Owner	Impact	Strategy and Assurances	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Review date			
SR1	Business continuity risks associated with COVID-19, including changes in OPCC	- Failure to deliver core	Treat	4	4	16	Ongoing oversight and proactive communication. Absence rates remain low and the offfice maintins core			
19	working arrangements, information security, impact of social distancing on effective practice, and potential impact on staff welfare.	statutory duties - Staff welfare - Reputation / public confidence risk	Individual service-level risk registers. OPCC representation on Gold and Silver Command Groups. Increased agile working. Wider use of tele conferencing. Scheme of delegation	3	3	9	business as usual via revised working arrangements. Information security reminders have been issued to all staff in the wake of the COVID-19 outbreak and transition to routine agile working.			
Transforming Services and Delivering Quality Policing	Owner: Chief Executive Organisation: OPCC	- Government penalties	reviewed. Regular pro-active communications.				Review date: Ongoing			
SR2	Business continuity risks associated with MFSS transfer to inhouse provision in April	- Workforce confidence /	Treat	4	3	12	Part of the work that is easily transferable from MFSS to Nottingham has taken place. This has successfully reduced the number of outstanding Service Requests.			
Business continuity: MFSS	2020 and on-going future service provision by MFSS.	morale - Service delivery - Reputation / public	Ongoing oversight via MFSS Management Board and Strategic Oversight Board. There	3	3	9	Future provision is being reviewed. Ongoing oversight via MFSS Management Board and Strategic Oversight Board. Ongoing data accuracy issues being identified			
Transforming Services and Delivering Quality Policing	Owner: Chief Finance Officer Organisation: OPCC	confidence risk	confidence risk is an internal transition group to manage the change in contractors by 2022.				and resolved. Review date : Ongoing			
SR3	Force unable to achieve a balanced	 Insolvency Govt. mandation / penalties 	Treat	4	4	16	Ongoing oversight via monthly budget monitoring meetings and weekly PCC/CC briefing meetings			
Financial Incapability	budget, required efficiency savings and contribution to reserves Owner: Chief Finance Officer	 Reputation / public confidence Performance / delivery risks 	Budget parameters set by PCC Medium Term Financial Planning Monthly budget monitoring meetings Weekly PCC / CC meetings	2	3	6	Active OPCC and Force CFO discussion. Actual year end overspend of £90k. 2020/21 fundng gap of £3.5m taking account of planned efficiencies and precept.			
and Delivering Quality Policing	Organisation: OPCC	- Poor assessment / inspection outcomes					Review date: Ongoing			
SR4	Inability to respond to critical unforseen	- Govt. mandation / penalties	Treat	3	4	12	Nottinghamshire maintins one of the lowest levels of reserves when compared to other Police folice forces / OPCCs nationally. Risk continues to be monitoried via			
	risk due to a lack of prudent risk-assessed reserves Owner: Chief Finance Officer Organisation: OPCC	 Reputation / public confidence Performance / delivery risks Poor assessment / inspection outcomes 	confidence - Performance / delivery risks - Poor assessment /	confidence - Performance / delivery risks - Poor assessment /	confidence Medium Term Financial Planning Performance / delivery risks - Poor assessment / Weekly PCC / CC meetings	Monthly budget monitoring meetings	2	3	6	monthly budget meetings and weekly PCC/CC briefing meetings, alongside ongoing active OPCC and Force CFO discussions. Anticipated overspend and slippage in force repayment of risk-assessed reserves.
Policing			Active OPCC and Force CFO discussion				Review date: Ongoing			
SR5	Impact of COVID-19 recovery phase on	 Failure to meet the needs of vulnerable victims Reputation / public 	Treat	3	3	9	Covid-19 continues to create additional demand on DA & SV support services. Restrictions are resulting in increased risk of DA, court delays and additional			
Delivery of critical multi-agency services	DVA, SVA and SMS services. Owner: Head of Commissioning	confidence - Relationship with partners - Missed opportunities to	Weekly joint commissioning DSVA meetings Weekly/monthly updates from commissioned services to monitor service uptake & trends.	2	2	4	demand on substance misuse services. Extraordinary MoJ funding received summer 2020. Local needs assessment reported to MoJ.			
Cross-cutting risk	Organisation: OPCC	 P&C Plan commissioning intentions affected 	Extraordinary MoJ funding allocated Options for further national funding.				Review date: Ongoing			

NOTTINGHAMSHIRE POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER CORPORATE RISK REGISTER - OCTOBER 2020

	RISK		MITIGATION OF RISK	ASSESSMENT				
Risk /		lange of		Unmitig	gated / Curr	ent Risk	Commentary and	
Objective	Description and Owner	Impact	Strategy and Assurances	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Review date	
SR6	Delays and uncertainty in the government announcement of comprehensive	- Ineffective planning	Treat	3	3	9	Delay in confirmation of 21/22 grant - potentially Jan 2021. Further delays to review of the police funding formula where Notts remains adversely affected by	
Financial uncertainty Transforming Services	spending review. Delays in the review of the police funding formula. Impact of brexit and COVID-19 on public sector funding.	 Instability of key services Impact on service delivery Reputational / public 	Engagement with central government, APCC/NPCC Police Finance workstream Police Reform and Transformation Board	3	3	9	dampening formula. One year settlement and potential impact of economic downturn on precept. Ongoing engagement with central government, APCC/NPCC Police Finance workstream and Police Reform and	
and Delivering Quality Policing	Owner: Chief Finance Officer Organisation: OPCC	confidence impact	Extra budget meetings scheduled early 2021				Transformation Board.	
SR7	Delivery and sustainability of outcomes as	- Instability of key services	Transfer	3	3	9	Ongoing development and delivery of the VRU.	
Service sustainability / making best use of resources	a result of significant short term national investment in Serious Violence Reduction. Owner: Director of VRU	and programmes - Reputational / public confidence impact P&C Plan commissioning	Ongoing project managemnt Proactive engagement with communities	2	2	4	Proactive engagement with key partners. Detailed spending plans developed. Increased assurance of further Home Office investment	
Tackling crime and ASB	Organisation: OPCC	intentions affected	PCC chairs VRU Board				Review date: Ongoing	
SR8	Poor data quality compliance impacts upon understanding of crime patterns, the	- Reputation / public	Transfer	3	3	9	Overall indicative NCRS compliance remains strong and in excess of 95%. Reporting has been affected by	
Information Management: Data Quality	identification and referral fo vulnerable people and public confidence in crime recording.	confidence - Delivery failure - Ineffective planning and problem solving	Audit Committee / Internal Audit FCIR Review meetings	2	2	4	the transition to the 'SAFE' system. Further assurance required in respect of crime and incident flagging (e.g. alcohol, cyber, vulnerability). Ongoing regular oversight by OPCC and HMICFRS	
Tackling crime and ASB	Owner: Head of Performance & Assurance Organisation: OPCC	- Government penalties	HMICFRS Inspection Annual Assurance Statement				Review date: Ongoing	
SR9A	Austerity and restructuring within the force	 Insufficient resource leading to the accounts being 	Treat	3	4	12	Austerity and restructuring within the force finance team has led to a reliance on staff with limited professional	
Limited inhouse accounting expertise Transforming Services	finance team has led to a reliance on staff with limited professional experience with local government accountancy. Owner: Chief Finance Officer	qualified - Temporary appointments leading to lack of conssistency	qualified - Temporary appointments leading to lack of	Exploring need for further staff at an inputting level and team manager level in order to help mitigate risks. Potential for	3	3 3	9 3 3	experience with local government accountancy. CIPFA review for Excellence in Police Finance highlights the need to replace a former CIPFA qualified accountant with a like for like and at the appropriate market rate.
	Organisation: OPCC	- Reputation / public confidence risk	PCC CFO to bring within OPCC remit				Review date: Ongoing	
SR9B	Lack of force and partner agency analytical capacity impacting on provision	- Force and partners inability to direct resources according	Treat	3	2	6	Ongoing liaison with heads of Intelligence and Management Information. Proposals to enhance 'self-	
Limited analytical capacity and capability	of analytical products and assurance reports Owner: Head of Performance & Assurance	to need; identify emerging risks; assess impact of interventions; provide accountability	bact of rovide ty birect OPCC analytical products. Direct OPCC access to intranet-based tools and functionality. Development of	2	2	4	service' functionality are being progressed. Reduced capacity and frequency of strategic intelligence products, control strategy and MoRiLE assessment.	
Tackling Crime and ASB	Organisation: OPCC	 Reputation / public confidence risk 	independent assurance mechanisms such as the Police and Crime Survey				Review date: Ongoing	

NOTTINGHAMSHIRE POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER CORPORATE RISK REGISTER - OCTOBER 2020

	RISK	MITIGATION OF RISK	ASSESSMENT			SSESSMENT	
Risk /	Description and Owner	Impact	Strategy and Assurances	Unmitigated / Current Risk			Commentary and
Objective	Description and Owner	impact	Strategy and Assurances	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Review date
SR10	Potential for increased costs (>10%) being		Treat	3	3	9	
Increased cost pressures linked to COVID-19	passed on from contractors and suppliers as a result of COVID19. Owner: Chief Finance Officer	 Direct financial impact Contractual delays Performance / delivery risks 	Medium Term Financial Planning Monthly budget monitoring meetings Weekly PCC / CC meetings Active OPCC and Force CFO discussion	3	3	9	Proactive steps have been taken with the new HQ build contract to ensure any increased cost are borne by the contractor. Risks in realtion to major contracts remain. Review date : Ongoing

Appendix 3 – Mitigation for High Level Strategic Risks

SR2	Business continuity risks associated with	- Workforce confidence /	Treat	4	4	16	Ongoing oversight via MFSS Management Board and
continuity	MFSS transfer of payroll system in April 2019 Owner: Chief Finance Officer	morale - Service delivery	Ongoing oversight via MFSS Management Board and Strategic Oversight Board	4	4	16	Ongoing oversight War wir So wanagemenn board and Strategic Oversight Board. Preparation of advice for officers and staff Review date: Ongoing

Business Continuity Risks associated with MFSS transfer of Payroll System in April 2019

1. <u>The Joint Oversight Committee (JOC) on 27/07/20 took the decision to transition</u> away from a shared service to an individual force model in November 2022.

This decision could impact on the ability of MFSS to deliver services during the transition period as it may become difficult to retain MFSS staff. The premature departure of staff could also result in MFSS not retaining individuals with sufficient skill set to transition Nottinghamshire to the new local systems and in-house services.

The mitigation activities include the establishment of a Transition 2022 Programme which has been agreed by Partners. The plan of work including risk and independencies is being developed by MFSS. The continued ability to deliver BAU is being tracked closely using a MFSS Management Information tool and People Plan. This activity is reported to the MFSS Management Board chaired by DCC Barber on a monthly basis. The people plan allows an extended transition period for staff who accept roles within Cheshire Police i.e. reducing the impact of staff leaving MFSS.

In addition a detailed request for additional funding for specialist transition resources will be presented JOC prior to 24th October 2020 for their consideration.

2. <u>MFSS Oracle Technology delivered falls short of expectations which impact the</u> service delivery user experience and the end to end process.

There remains an inability to resolve defects within the current Oracle technology; this causes operational issues, impacts on retained staff and user experience (examples include payroll, recruitment and L&D). A significant number of defects have been addressed with the implementation of manual workarounds which create opportunities for manual errors to occur. This could result in reputational damage and the potential of litigation with Cap Gemini and lead to expensive legal costs. To mitigate these risks there is a significant amount of work being undertaken in both short and longer terms:

Short term activity:

- A review and update of the MFSS service catalogue has been undertaken to confirm the transactions MFSS undertake on behalf of partners and also a 'confidence' score.
- Work is ongoing to prioritise and improve the processes within MFSS. At a local level Nottinghamshire payroll staff have enhanced access to the Oracle system to continually check and validate the payroll runs ensuring that timely corrections are made.

- All Requests for Change submitted to MFSS by partners for future activities are reviewed and approved via the MFSS Management Board.
- Management Information is being developed by MFSS to improve the visibility of the health of processes. Some services may be brought back locally where it is feasible and economical to do so.

Longer Term Activity:

Nottinghamshire is currently in a procurement process to acquire fit for purpose IT solutions which support the functions of Payroll, Finance, People Services, L&D and DMS. A full business case will be submitted to the Force Executive Board in late November for the recruitment of staff to deliver local services for Nottinghamshire. The key processes have been redesigned and will be the focus of implementation throughout 2021 with a target go-live of 1st April 2022. In the meantime data quality checks are ongoing within key service areas.

3. <u>If there is a significant time slippage in transitioning away from MFSS by November</u> 2022 this will require a renegotiation with Cap Gemini and Oracle.

This would involve the need to negotiate extension requests for an unknown duration or scope with Cap Gemini and Oracle. Legal services have advised in respect of future arrangements with Capgemini beyond 03/11/2022 that:

- Contractually the negotiating position would be very difficult and costly
- Only those partners needing to continue with Cap Gemini would be affected
- Each partner would have to find a legal route independently of each other due S22 agreements ending.
- Additionally double costs could be incurred and a minimal contract could be for a significant period (12mnths +)

As only those partners needing to continue with Cap Gemini would be affected, the mitigation activity currently undertaken by Nottinghamshire includes extensive planning and procurement activity. There is a dedicated internal programme team and a formalised Regain Programme Board chaired by DCC Barber as SRO. SMEs from each of the key business areas are fully engaged and monthly programme board meetings take place to review the plan both internally and with the MFSS Transition 2022 Committee. There are also regular updates to the MFSS Management Board. Work streams have been commissioned to prioritise the high risk work such as 'Data' extract and data archiving.

A full business case will be submitted to the Force Executive Board in late November for the recruitment of staff and procurement of IT systems to deliver local services for Nottinghamshire. The key processes have been redesigned and will be the focus of local implementation throughout 2021 with a target go-live of 1st April 2022.

4. <u>There is a risk that the Coronavirus (Covid-19) may cause disruption to the MFSS</u> workforce and could cause service delivery issues.

Monthly reports are provided by MFSS in respect of staffing levels and have been reported as green since the commencement of the lockdown period. Agile working practices are in place for many of the MFSS staff.

SR5		- Reputation / public	Treat	4	4	16	GDPR gap analysis being undertaken in order to identify associated risks
Management	Data protection breaches as a result of non compliance with GDPR Owner- Deputy Chief Constable	confidence - Delivery failure - Ineffective planning and problem solving - Government penalties	Undertaking GDPR gap analysis in order to identify associated risk and define an action plan	4	4	16 ••	which will help define an action plan Force due to be audited on GDPR compliance in December 2018 Review date: Ongoing

GDPR was subject of a recent internal audit by Mazars and received Satisfactory Assurance.

However, it had been noted within the report that whilst progress has been made, this had been slower than expected. In relation to a gap analysis and implementation plan, it was also identified this was outstanding.

New terms of reference are in the process of being put together for a follow-up audit to be undertaken by Mazars.