For Information	
Public/Non Public*	Public
Report to:	Joint Audit and Scrutiny Panel
Date of Meeting:	7 <sup>th</sup> November 2018
Report of:	Chief Constable
Report Author:	Amanda Froggatt, Strategic Support Officer
E-mail:	amanda.froggatt@nottinghamshire.pnn.police.uk
Other Contacts:	Amanda Froggatt, Strategic Support Officer
Agenda Item:	10

# Strategic Risk Management Report for Force and Nottinghamshire Police and Crime Commissioner (NOPCC), Quarter 2, 2018/19

## 1. Purpose of the Report

1.1 To provide the Joint Audit and Scrutiny Panel (JASP) with an up to date picture of strategic risk management across the Force and the Nottinghamshire Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner (NOPCC).

## 2. Recommendations

- 2.1 It is recommended that the JASP notes the current approach to strategic risk management and considers the assurance that this report provides as to the effectiveness of those arrangements within the Force and NOPCC.
- 2.2 JASP note the three very high strategic risks on the Force's risk register namely, Multi-Force Shared Service (MFSS) transfer of payroll system to the new Fusion solution, compliance with the new General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR), and the building of the new Bridewell custody facility.
- 2.3 JASP also note the high risks relating to the NOPCC, Multi-Force Shared Service (MFSS) transfer of payroll system to the new Fusion solution, the uncertainty in relation to the announcement of the comprehensive spending review and the police funding formula and

## 3. Reasons for Recommendations

3.1 A Strategic Risk Report is provided to the JASP on a quarterly basis in order to keep the Board informed as to the level of strategic risk within the Force and NOPCC and provide assurance as to the effectiveness of risk management arrangements.

# 4. Summary of Key Points

## Risk management policy and process

4.1 The Force and the NOPCC previously agreed a joint policy for the management of risk, in line with the Cabinet Office approved Management of Risk (M o R) approach.

# 5 Financial Implications and Budget Provision

5.1 There are no direct financial implications as a result of this report. Financial implications as a result of each risk will be assessed and managed on an individual basis.

# 6 Human Resources Implications

- 6.1 Providing professional advice on risk management is the responsibility of the Corporate Governance and Business Planning team.
- 6.2 General responsibility for managing risk forms an integral part of the job descriptions of individuals throughout the Force.

# 7 Equality Implications

- 7.1 There are no known equality implications associated with the implementation of the Risk Management Policy.
- 7.2 Where a particular risk is identified that could have an impact on the Force's equality objectives that risk will be assessed and managed in line with the Risk Management Policy.

# 8 Risk Management

- 8.1 One of the main aims of the Risk Management Policy is to achieve consistent application of risk management principles and techniques across all areas of the Force and NOPCC.
- 8.2 If the Force and NOPCC do not practice effective risk management within their decision making there is a risk of non-compliance with the principles set out in the Joint Code of Corporate Governance.

# 9 Policy Implications and links to the Police and Crime Plan Priorities

9.1 An understanding and appreciation of strategic risk is important in determining the priorities in the Police and Crime Plan, and subsequently informing the development of effective strategies, policies and plans to address those priorities. It is expected that the implementation of the Risk Management Policy will lead to improved understanding of strategic risk and therefore impact positively on the achievement of Police and Crime Plan objectives.

# 10 Changes in Legislation or other Legal Considerations

10.1 Where potential changes in legislation or other legal considerations represent a significant threat or opportunity for the Force or the NOPCC these are evaluated and managed in line with the Risk Management Policy.

# 11 Details of outcome of consultation

11.1 Each Strategic Risk has been assessed with the relevant risk owner and the DCC and Chief Executive of the NOPCC, respectively.

# 12. Appendices

12.1 **Appendix 1** – Force Strategic Risk Register **Appendix 2** – NOPCC Strategic Risk Register

#### NOTTINGHAMSHIRE POLICE CORPORATE RISK REGISTER - OCTOBER 2018

RISK			MITIGATION OF RISK	ASSESSMENT			
Risk /	5			Unmitig	ated / Curre	ent Risk	Commentary and
Objective	Description and Owner	Impact	Strategy and Assurances	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Review date
SR1			Treat	4	4	16	
Matching Resources to Demand	Timeliness in responding to Grade 3 and 4 incidents due to demand pressures  Owner:- ACC Crime and Operational Support	Reputation / public confidence - Performance / delivery risks - Poor assessment / inspection outcomes	Ongoing oversight via DMMs and Introduction of Sergeant oversight into Control Room	3	3	9	Daily oversight via DMMs and Introduction of Sergeant oversight into Control Room On-going recruitment of officers  Review date: Ongoing
Create a service that works for local people			Recruitment of additional officers			<b>A</b>	
SR2	Business continuity risks associated with		Treat	4	4	16	
MFSS Business continuity  Create a service that	MFSS transfer of payrol system in April 2019 Owner: Chief Finance Officer	- Workforce confidence / morale - Service delivery - Reputation / public confidence risk	Ongoing oversight via MFSS Management Board and Strategic Oversight Board	4	4	16	Ongoing oversight via MFSS Management Board and Strategic Oversight Board. Preparation of advice for officers and staff  Review date: Ongoing
works for local people		confidence fisk	Board and Stategie Storeight Board			<b>A</b>	Notice date: Singong
SR3	Force unable to achieve a balanced	- Insolvency - Govt. mandation / penalties	Treat	4	4	16	Ongoing oversight via monthly budget monitoring meetings and weekly PCC/CC briefing meetings
Financial Incapability Create a service that	budget, required efficiency savings and contribution to reserves  Owner: Chief Finance Officer	- Reputation / public confidence - Performance / delivery risks - Poor assessment /	Budget parameters set by PCC Medium Term Financial Planning Monthly budget monitoring meetings Weekly PCC / CC meetings	3	4	12	Active OPCC and Force CFO discussion. The potential impact of Treasury decisions on pension budget continues to be closely monitoried.
works for local people		inspection outcomes	Escalation process Active OPCC and Force CFO discussion			<b>∢</b> ▶	Review date: Ongoing
SR4			Treat	4	4	16	Alignment of regional approach with local practices
Information Management Create a service that	Documentation retention, review and disposal risks associated with non compliance of MOPI	- Reputation / public confidence - Delivery failure - Ineffective planning and problem solving	Alignment of regional approach with local practices Assessment and development of RRD processes within Force legacy systems	3	4	12	Assessment and development of RRD processes within Force legacy systems Alignment of RRD requirements with retention schedules and Information Asset register
works for local people	Owner:- Deputy Chief Constable	- Government penalties	Alignment of RRD requirements with retention schedules and Information Asset register	3	7	<b>4</b>	Review date: Ongoing
SR5		- Reputation / public	Treat	4	4	16	GDPR gap analysis being undertaken in order to identify associated risks
Information Management Create a service that	Data protection breaches as a result of non compliance with GDPR  Owner:- Deputy Chief Constable	confidence - Delivery failure - Ineffective planning and problem solving	Undertaking GDPR gap analysis in order to identify associated risk	4	4	16	which will help define an action plan Force due to be audited on GDPR compliance in December 2018

### NOTTINGHAMSHIRE POLICE CORPORATE RISK REGISTER - OCTOBER 2018

RISK			MITIGATION OF RISK				ASSESSMENT	
Risk /	Description and Owner	Impact	Strategy and Assurances	Unmitigated / Current Risk		ent Risk	Commentary and	
Objective			Pi	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Review date	
works for local people		- Government penalties	and define an action plan			<b>A</b>	Review date: Ongoing	
SR6	Poor data quality compliance impacts upon understanding of crime patterns, the	- Reputation / public confidence - Delivery failure - Ineffective planning and problem solving - Government penalties		Treat	4	4	16	HMICFRS Crime Integrity Inspection highlighted a
Information Management & Data Quality	identification and referral fo vulnerable people and public confidence in crime recording.		HMICERS Inspection	3	3	9	number of areas for concern. These are being reviewed and addressed within force in the Crime Data Integrity meeting chaired by ACC Local Policing  Review date: Ongoing	
Engage our communities	Owner:- Deputy Chief Constable					<b>◄</b> ▶		
SR7	Look of force and partner agency analytical	allytical products and assurance reports interventions; provide accountability analytical products.	to direct resources according		4	3	12	Ongoing liaison with heads of Intelligence and Management Information.
Analytical capacity and capability	capacity impacting on provision of analytical products and assurance reports		to of ide Forward planning on the development of key analytical products.	3	3	9	Departmental restructure and recruitment underway. Proposals to enhance 'self-service' fuinctionality are being progressed.	
communities	Owner:- Deputy Chief Constable				<b>◆▶</b>	Review date: Ongoing		

# NOTTINGHAMSHIRE OPCC RISK MATRIX

# **Nottinghamshire Force Risk Matrix**

4 8 12 16
3 6 9 12
2 4 6 8
1 2 3 4

Probability

# **PROBABILITY**

4	Very High: >75% chance, almost certain to occur						
3	High: 51-75% chance, more likely to occur than not						
2	Medium: 26-50% chance, fairly likely to occur						
1	Low: <25% chance, unlikely to occur						

	Impact score						
Impact category	Low (1)	Medium (2)	High (3)	Very High (4)			
Performance / Service Delivery	Minor, brief disruption to service delivery.	Significant, sustained disruption to service delivery.	Serious, protracted disruption to service delivery.	Major, long term disruption to service delivery.			
	Minor impact on performance indicators.	Noticeable impact on performance indictors.	Substantial impact on performance indicators.	Major impact on performance indicators.			
Finance / Efficiency	Force: <£50,000	Force: £51,000 -£250,000	Force: £251,000 - £1,000,000	Force: >£1,000,000			
	Business Area: <£10,000	Business Area: £11,000 -£40,000	Business Area: £41,000 - £150,000	Business Area: >£150,000			
Confidence / Reputation	Complaints from individuals.  Little or no noticeable local media coverage.	Significant public concerns / investigations.  Significant reputational damage / adverse local media coverage.	Substantial stakeholder / public concerns / investigations.  Substantial reputational damage / adverse national media coverage < 7 days	Major stakeholder / public concerns / investigations.  Major reputational damage / adverse national media coverage >7 days			
Community impact	Minor impact on a specific section of the community  Significant impact on a specific section of the community.  Significant impact on a specific section of the community.  Minor impact on the wider community.  Significant impact on the community.  Significant impact on the wider community.		Major, prolonged impact on the wider community.				
Health & Safety	An injury or illness involving no treatment or minor first aid / care with no time off work	An injury or illness requiring hospital / professional medical attention and / or between one day and three days off work, with full recovery	An injury or illness requiring over 24 hrs hospitalisation and / or more than 3 days off work, or a major injury as defined by the RIDDOR Regulations	Death, or a life changing injury or illness.			
Environment	Little or no noticeable natural resources used, pollution produced, or biodiversity affected	Moderate amount of natural resources used, pollution produced, or biodiversity affected	Substantial amount of natural resources used, pollution produced, or biodiversity	Major amount of natural resources used, pollution produced, or biodiversity			

NO		biodiversity affected.	biodiversity affected.	or biodiversity affected.	affected.
	Strategic direction	Little or no noticeable change to one strategic objective.	Noticeable change to one or more strategic objectives.	Substantial changes to one or more strategic objectives.	Complete change to strategic direction.

### NOTTINGHAMSHIRE POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER CORPORATE RISK REGISTER - OCTOBER 2018

RISK			MITIGATION OF RISK	ASSESSMENT					
Risk /	Description and Owner	luurat	Charles and Assessment	Unmitigated / Current Risk			Commentary and		
Objective	Description and Owner	Impact	Strategy and Assurances	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Review date		
SR1	No agreed funding in place from local	- Failure to meet the needs	Treat	4	3	12	PT has written to CCGs.		
Delivery of the sexual violence support service  Helping and Supporting	authority or CCG partners from March 2019 to fund the sexual violence support service in the county.  Owner: Head of Commissioning Organisation: OPCC	9 to fund the sexual violence support vice in the county.  - a postcode lottery between services in city and county reputational damage to the OPCC as the funder of	HWB workshop Engagement with survivors PCC discussion with CCG Chief Officers Joint task and finish group	3	3	9	Meeting planned for October. Further meetings with commissioning leads. Propose new model to CCGs.  Review date: 01/10/2018		
Victims	Organisation. OPGC	victims services	John task and milen group			<b>♦</b> ▶	•		
SR2	Business coninutity risks associated with	- Workforce confidence /	Treat	4	3	12	Ongoing oversight via MFSS Management Board and		
MFSS Business continuity  Transforming Services	MFSS transfer of payrol system in April 2019  Owner: Chief Finance Officer	morale - Service delivery - Reputation / public	morale - Service delivery - Reputation / public	morale - Service delivery - Reputation / public	morale - Service delivery	3	3	9	Strategic Oversight Via MP35 Management Board and Strategic Oversight Board. Preparation of advice for officers and staff  Review date: Ongoing
and Delivering Quality Policing	Organisation: OPCC	confidence flox	Joana and Chalogic Croisign Dodina			<b>A</b>	Review date. Origonity		
SR3	Force unable to achieve a balanced	- Insolvency - Govt. mandation / penalties	Treat	4	4	16	Ongoing oversight via monthly budget monitoring meetings and weekly PCC/CC briefing meetings		
Financial Incapability  Transforming Services	budget, required efficiency savings and contribution to reserves  Owner: Chief Finance Officer	- Reputation / public confidence - Performance / delivery risks	Budget parameters set by PCC Medium Term Financial Planning Monthly budget monitoring meetings	2	3	6	Active OPCC and Force CFO discussion.  The potential impact of Treasury decisions on pension budget continues to be closely monitoried.		
and Delivering Quality Policing	Organisation: OPCC	- Poor assessment / inspection outcomes	Weekly PCC / CC meetings Escalation process Active OPCC and Force CFO discussion			<b>4</b> Þ	Review date: Ongoing		
SR4	Inability to respond to critical unforseen	- Govt. mandation / penalties	Treat	3	4	12	Nottinghamshire maintins one of the lowest levels of reserves when compared to other Police folice forces /		
Level of risk- assesed reserves  Transforming Services and Delivering Quality		Reputation / public confidence     Performance / delivery risks     Poor assessment / inspection outcomes	Medium Term Financial Planning Monthly budget monitoring meetings Weekly PCC / CC meetings Escalation process	2	3	6	OPCCs nationally. This risk continues to be monitoric via monthly budget meetings and weekly PCC/CC briefing meetings, alongside ongoing active OPCC ar Force CFO discussions.		
Policing	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Active OPCC and Force CFO discussion			<b>◆</b> ▶	Review date: Ongoing		
SR5	Reductions in partner agency budgets results in withdrawal of support and	- Gaps in service - Reputation / public	Treat	3	3	9	Strategic engagement with key partners throughout year and as part of annual planning an budget setting.		
Delivery of critical multi-agency services	funding from key partnership projects. Implications for Police and Crime Plan commissiong intentions	confidence - Relationship with partners - Failure to meet the needs of vulnerable victims	Chairs Meeting Strategic Resources and Performance Engagement in CDP and SNB, Health and Wellbeing Boards	2	2	4	Engaging with partners at political and officer level. Exploring opportunities to review domestic abuse support services procurement and specification in city.		
Cross-cutting risk	Owner: Head of Commissioning Organisation: OPCC	<ul> <li>Missed opportunities to prevent and reduce crime</li> </ul>	O Regular commissioning review meetings			<b>4</b>	Review date: Ongoing		

### NOTTINGHAMSHIRE POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER CORPORATE RISK REGISTER - OCTOBER 2018

RISK			MITIGATION OF RISK		ASSESSMENT							
Risk /	Description and Owner	Impact	Strategy and Assurances	Unmitiç	gated / Curre	ent Risk	Commentary and					
Objective	Description and Owner	Шраст	Pro	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Review date					
SR6 Financial	Delays and uncertainty in the government announcement of comprehensive	- Ineffective planning	Treat	3	3	9	Engagement with central government,					
uncertainty	spending review. Delays in the review of the police funding formula	<ul> <li>Instability of key services</li> <li>Impact on service delivery</li> </ul>	Engagement with central government,			9	APCC/NPCC Police Finance workstream Police Reform and Transformation Board.					
Transforming Services and	Owner: Chief Finance Officer	<ul> <li>Reputational / public confidence impact</li> </ul>	APCC/NPCC Police Finance workstream Police Reform and Transformation Board	3	3		Review date: Ongoing					
Delivering Quality Policing	Delivering Quality Organisation: OPCC	·	Police Reform and Transformation Board			<b>A</b>	Ç					
SR7	Poor data quality compliance impacts upon understanding of crime patterns, the	- Reputation / public	Transfer	3	3	9	HMICFRS Crime Integrity Inspection highlighted a					
Information Management & Data Quality	identification and referral fo vulnerable people and public confidence in crime recording.	confidence - Delivery failure - Ineffective planning and problem solving - Government penalties	confidence - Delivery failure - Ineffective planning and problem solving  Committee / Internal Audit FCIR Review meetings HMICERS Inspection	confidence - Delivery failure - Ineffective planning and	<ul> <li>Delivery failure</li> <li>Ineffective planning and</li> </ul>	confidence - Delivery failure - Ineffective planning and	- Delivery failure - Ineffective planning and problem solving  Audit Committee / Internal Audit FCIR Review meetings	FCIR Review meetings	2	2	4	number of areas for concern. These are being reviewed and addressed within force with regular oversight from the OPCC
Tackling crime and ASB	Owner: Head of Performance & Assurance Organisation: OPCC			_		<b>4&gt;</b>	Review date: Ongoing					
SR8	Lack of force and partner agency analytical capacity impacting on provision	- Force and partners inability to direct resources according	Treat	3	2	6	Ongoing liaison with heads of Intelligence and Management Information.					
Significant gaps in analytical capacity and capability	of analytical products and accurance	to need; identfiy emerging risks; assess impact of interventions; provide accountability	risks; assess impact of interventions; provide	risks; assess impact of interventions; provide accounts bility.  Direct OPCC access to intranet-based to interventions.	Forward planning on the development of key OPCC analytical products.  Direct OPCC access to intranet-based tools and functionality. Development of	2	2	4	Departmental restructure and recruitment underway. Proposals to enhance 'self-service' fuinctionality are being progressed.			
Tackling Crime and ASB  Owner: Head of Research and Informat Organisation: OPCC		<ul> <li>Reputation / public confidence risk</li> </ul>	independent assurance mechanisms such as the Police and Crime Survey			<b>4</b> Þ	Review date: Ongoing					